## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

| HEMLING,   | et al   |          |          |           | *             |                           |           |                  |            |       |  |
|--|---|----------|----------|-----------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------|------------------|------------|-------|--|
| Plaintiffs   |   |          |          |           | * Ca          | * Case No.: 1:93-cv-03032 |           |                  |            |       |  |
| v.   |   |          |          |           | *             |                           |           |                  |            |       |  |
| AC&S, INC., et al  |   |          |          |           | *             |                           |           |                  |            |       |  |
| Defendants   |   |          |          |           |               |                           |           |                  |            |       |  |
| *  | *   | *        | *        | *         | *             | *                         | *         | *                | *          | *     |  |
| ORDER WITHDRAWING THE APPEARANCE ON BEHALF OF IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND |   |          |          |           |               |                           |           |                  |            |       |  |
| 1. Whiteford, Taylor & Preston, L.L.P. (Whiteford, Taylor & Preston), and                                      |   |          |          |           |               |                           |           |                  |            |       |  |
| its respective   | attorno   | eys, and | Louis    | G. Close  | e, Jr., have  | recited a                 | dequate   | e reasons        | s to strik | e     |  |
| their appeara  | nce, an   | d comp   | lied wit | th Local  | Rule 101      | in movin                  | g to stri | ke their         | appeara    | nce;  |  |
| and,   |   |          |          |           |               |                           |           |                  |            |       |  |
| 2.   | Whiteford, Taylor & Preston and Louis G. Close, Jr., has given adequate |          |          |           |               |                           |           |                  |            |       |  |
| notice of its 1  | notion,   | includi  | ng to tł | ne defend | dant ACan     | dS, Inc.                  |           |                  |            |       |  |
| THE  | REFOR   | E, it is | hereby   | ordered   | this d        | ay of                     |           | , 20             | 006, tha   | t the |  |
| motion is gra  | nted ar   | nd the a | ppearan  | ices of B | . Ford Dav    | vis, Gard                 | ner M. ]  | Duvall, <b>'</b> | Whitefor   | rd,   |  |
| Taylor & Pre   | eston L.  | L.P. an  | y Louis  | G. Clos   | e, Jr., are l | nereby st                 | ricken.   |                  |            |       |  |
|  |   |          |          |           | Ī             | District Ju               | ıdge      |                  |            |       |  |